

FILED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (CRC 985)  
PER ORDER DATED 9/28/07  
AMOUNT RECOVERABLE PURSUANT  
TO 68511.3 GC § 20000  
PLUS ADMINISTRATIVE FEES \$ 200

1  
2  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PINNOCK & WAKEFIELD**

A Professional Corporation  
Theodore A. Pinnock, Esq.  
David C. Wakefield, Esq.  
Michelle L. Wakefield, Esq.  
3033 Fifth Avenue, Suite 410  
San Diego, CA 92103  
Telephone: 619.858.3671  
Facsimile: 619.858.3646

Bar #: 153434  
Bar #: 185736  
Bar #: 200424

Attorneys for Plaintiff

**FILED**  
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT  
SEP 28 2007

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK  
*Jennifer Young*  
BY JENNIFER YOUNG, DEPUTY

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
North Central District, Glendale Courthouse**

**JAMES F. COHAN;**

**Plaintiff,**

v.

**CVS Drugs; JOHN D HOWARD & J  
MORGAN GREENE JOINT VE; And  
DOES 1 THROUGH 10, Inclusive**

**Defendants.**

Case No. **EC 045725**

**CIVIL COMPLAINT:**  
**DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES IN**  
**PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS**  
**[42 U.S.C. 12182(a) ET. SEQ; CIVIL**  
**CODE 51, 52, 54, 54.1]**

**NAMED DEFENDANTS AND NAMED PLAINTIFF**

1. Defendants are, and, at all times mentioned herein, were, a business or corporation or franchise organized and existing and/or doing business under the laws of the State of California. PROPERTY Buyer: JOHN D HOWARD & J MORGAN GREENE JOINT VE (Partnership)  
Buyer Mailing Address: C/O HOWARD FAMILY LP, 1819 W OLIVE AVE, BURBANK, CA 91506-2435  
Seller: GREENE, TED J (Trustee/Conservator); J MORGAN & MARGARET L GREENE FAMILY TR (Family Trust); HOWARD, CYNTHIA D

1 (Executor/Administrator); HOWARD, BRADLEY D (Executor/Administrator);  
2 ESTATE OF JOHN D HOWARD (Deceased); CASE #EP 004840; ESTATE OF  
3 BETTY R HOWARD (Deceased); CASE #BP 074819

4 Seller Mailing Address: 1819 W OLIVE AVE, BURBANK, CA 91506-2435

5 Property Address: 2027-2043 VERDUGO BLVD, MONTROSE, CA 91020-1626

6 2. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants,  
7 CVS Drugs; JOHN D HOWARD & J MORGAN GREENE JOINT VE, are the owners,  
8 operators, and/or lessors of the real property, as well as the business operated thereon.

9 3. The words "Plaintiffs" and "Plaintiff's Member" as used herein specifically  
10 include the organization and TRIPPLE AAA ASSOCIATION FOR CHILDREN AND  
11 ADULTS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, SUING ON BEHALF OF ITS  
12 MEMBERS, JAMES H. COHAN; and persons associated with its Members who  
13 accompanied Members to Defendants' facilities.

14 4. Defendants Does 1 through 10, were at all times relevant herein subsidiaries,  
15 employers, employees, agents, of Defendants CVS Drugs; JOHN D HOWARD & J  
16 MORGAN GREENE JOINT VE . Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and  
17 capacities of Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues  
18 these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will pray leave of the court to  
19 amend this complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the Does when  
20 ascertained.

21 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendants and each  
22 of them herein were, at all times relevant to the action, the owner, lessor, lessee,  
23 franchiser, franchisee, general partner, limited partner, agent, employee, representing  
24 partner, or joint venturer of the remaining Defendants and were acting within the course  
25 and scope of that relationship. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
26 allege, that each of the Defendants herein gave consent to, ratified, and/or authorized the  
27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

acts alleged herein to each of the remaining Defendants.

**CONCISE SET OF FACTS**

6. Plaintiff has an impairment.

7. Defendants failed to provide auxiliary aids and services that are necessary to ensure equal access to the goods, services, privileges, or accommodations that it offers.

Title 28, part 36.303 of Code of Federal Regulations states:

(a) General. A public accommodation shall take those steps that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the public accommodation can demonstrate that taking those steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations being offered or would result in an undue burden, i.e., significant difficulty or expense.

(b) Examples. The term "auxiliary aids and services" includes:

(1) Qualified interpreters, notetakers, computer-aided transcription services, written materials, telephone handset amplifiers, assistive listening devices, assistive listening systems, telephones compatible with hearing aids, closed caption decoders, open and closed captioning, telecommunications devices for deaf persons (TDD's), videotext displays, or other effective methods of making aurally delivered materials available to individuals with hearing impairments;

(2) Qualified readers, taped texts, audio recordings, Brailled materials, large print materials, or other effective methods of making visually delivered materials available to individuals with visual impairments;

1 (3) Acquisition or modification of equipment or devices; and

2 (4) Other similar services and actions.

3 (c) Effective communication. A public accommodation shall furnish  
4 appropriate auxiliary aids and services where necessary to ensure effective  
5 communication with individuals with disabilities.

6 Defendants failed to comply with Title 28, part 36.303 of Code of Federal Regulations as  
7 it failed to provide Qualified readers, taped texts, audio recordings, Brailled materials, or  
8 large print materials. Further, Defendants failed to remove architectural barriers.

9 8. Plaintiff's Member and Plaintiff(s) have physical impairments because their  
10 conditions affect one or more of the following body systems: neurological,  
11 musculoskeletal, special sense organs, and/or cardiovascular. Further, Plaintiff's  
12 Member and Plaintiff(s) said physical impairments substantially limits one or more of the  
13 following major life activities. In addition, Plaintiff's Member and Plaintiff(s) cannot  
14 perform one or more of the said major life activities in the manner, speed, and duration  
15 when compared to the average person. Moreover, Plaintiff's Member and Plaintiff(s) has  
16 a history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment as required by 42  
17 U.S.C. § 12102(2)(A).

18 9. Plaintiff's Members expressly intend to patronize the establishment and the  
19 property that is the subject of this Complaint in the immediate future.

20 10. Plaintiff's Member was deterred from patronizing the facility.

21  
22  
23 **WHAT CLAIMS ARE PLAINTIFFS ALLEGING AGAINST EACH NAMED**  
24 **DEFENDANT**

25 11. Defendants CVS Drugs; JOHN D HOWARD & J MORGAN GREENE JOINT  
26 VE; and Does 1 through 10 will be referred to collectively hereinafter as "Defendants."

27 12. Plaintiffs aver that the Defendants are liable for the following claims as alleged  
28

1 below:

2  
3 **DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES IN PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS**

4 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS- Claims Under The**  
5 **Americans With Disabilities Act Of 1990**

6 Claim I

7 13. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs on the  
8 basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities,  
9 privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation as  
10 Defendants own, lease (or lease to), or operate a place of public accommodation in  
11 violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

12 Claim II

13 14. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs  
14 directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, to a denial of the  
15 opportunity of the individual or class to participate in or benefit from the goods, services,  
16 facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity in violation of 42  
17 U.S.C. §12182.

18 Claim III

19 15. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as it  
20 is discriminatory to afford an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a disability  
21 or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or  
22 other arrangements with the opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service,  
23 facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is not equal to that afforded to other  
24 individuals in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

25 Claim IV

26 16. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as it  
27  
28

1 is discriminatory to provide an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a  
2 disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual,  
3 licensing, or other arrangements with a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
4 accommodation that is different or separate from that provided to other individuals.

5 **Claim V**

6 17. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
7 Defendants failed to afforded to an individual with a disability in the most integrated  
8 setting appropriate to the needs of the individual in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

9 **Claim VI**

10 18. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
11 Defendants utilized standards or criteria or methods of administration that have the effect  
12 of discriminating on the basis of disability; or that perpetuate the discrimination of others  
13 who are subject to common administrative control in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

14 **Claim VII**

15 19. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as it  
16 is discriminatory to exclude or otherwise deny equal goods, services, facilities, privileges,  
17 advantages, accommodations, or other opportunities to an individual or entity because of  
18 the known disability of an individual with whom the individual or entity is known to have  
19 a relationship or association in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182. See *Niece v. Fitzner* 922 F.  
20 Supp. 1208 (1996)

21 **Claim VIII**

22 20. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
23 Defendants engaged in the specific prohibitions as stated in 42 U.S.C. §12182.

24 **Claim IX**

25 21. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
26 Defendant failed to demonstrate that the removal of a barrier is not readily achievable,  
27  
28

1 and made such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations  
2 available through alternative methods in a segregated manner in violation of 42 U.S.C.  
3 §12182.

4 Claim X

5 22. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
6 Defendants altered the use of their establishment in a manner that affected or could have  
7 affected the usability of the facility or part thereof and failed to make alterations in such a  
8 manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are  
9 readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals  
10 who use wheelchairs in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12183.

11 23. WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment and relief as hereinafter set forth.

12  
13 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS - CLAIMS UNDER**  
14 **CALIFORNIA ACCESSIBILITY LAWS**

15 **CLAIM I: Denial Of Full And Equal Access**

16 24. Based on the facts plead above and elsewhere in this complaint, Plaintiff's  
17 Member and Plaintiff(s) was denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services,  
18 facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation  
19 owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendants as required by Civil Code Sections 54 and  
20 54.1.

21 **CLAIM II: Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures**

22 25. Based on the facts plead at ¶¶ 6-16 above and elsewhere herein this complaint,  
23 Defendants failed and refused to provide a reasonable alternative by modifying its  
24 practices, policies, and procedures in that they failed to have a scheme, plan, or design to  
25 assist Plaintiffs and/or others similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants'  
26 services as required by Civil Code § 54.1. Thus, Plaintiff's Member and Plaintiff(s) were  
27 subjected to discrimination in violation of Civil Code § 54.1.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CLAIM III: Violation Of The Unruh Act**

26. Based on the facts plead above and elsewhere herein this complaint and because Defendants violated the Civil Code § 51 by failing to comply with 42 United States Code § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2), Defendants did and continue to knowingly discriminate against Plaintiffs and persons similarly situated in violation of Civil Code §§ 51, 52, and 54.1. Plaintiffs allege the access violations alleged here are so obvious as to implicate at least a prima facie case of discriminatory intent.

27. Based on the facts plead above, Claims I, II, and III of Plaintiffs' Second Cause Of Action above, and the facts elsewhere herein this complaint, Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable harm unless Defendants are ordered to remove architectural, non-architectural, and communication barriers at Defendants' public accommodation. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants' discriminatory conduct is capable of repetition, and this discriminatory repetition adversely impacts Plaintiffs and a substantial segment of the disability community. Plaintiffs allege there is a state and national public interest in requiring accessibility in places of public accommodation. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to redress the discriminatory conduct of Defendants. Plaintiffs desire to return to Defendants' places of business in the immediate future. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs allege that a structural or mandatory injunction is necessary to enjoin compliance with state civil rights laws enacted for the benefit of individuals with disabilities.

28. Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for damages and relief as hereinafter stated.

**DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF:**

- A. For injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a).
- B. For attorneys fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, 42 U.S.C. § 12205, and Cal. Civil Code § 51, 52; 54.3;
- C. A Jury Trial and;


1 D. For such other further relief as the court deems proper.

2 Respectfully submitted:

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PINNOCK & WAKEFIELD, A.P.C.**

Dated: September 4, 2007

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
THEODORE A. PINNOCK, ESQ.  
MICHELLE L. WAKEFIELD, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address):  
 Theodore A. Pinnock, Esq. SBN: 153434  
 PINNOCK & WAKEFIELD, A.P.C.  
 3033 Fifth Avenue, Suite 410  
 San Diego, CA 92103  
 TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 858-3671 FAX NO.: (619) 858-3646  
 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): Pinnock99@aol.com  
 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff

FOR COURT USE ONLY  
**FILED**  
 LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT  
 SEP 28 2007  
 JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK  
*Jennifer Young*  
 BY JENNIFER YOUNG, DEPUTY  
 CASE NUMBER: EC 045725

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles  
 STREET ADDRESS: 600 East Broadway  
 MAILING ADDRESS: 600 East Broadway  
 CITY AND ZIP CODE: Glendale, CA 91206  
 BRANCH NAME: Glendale Courthouse  
 North Central District  
 300 E. Olive Ave.  
 Burbank, CA 91502

PLAINTIFF/ PETITIONER: JAMES F. COHANA, r. CVS Drugs; JOHN D. HOWARD & J  
 DEFENDANT/ RESPONDENT: MORGAN GREENE JOINT VE; And RES 1 THROUGH 10, ENCLISURE

**ORDER ON APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OF COURT FEES AND COSTS**

- The application was filed on (date): 9-28-07  A previous order was issued on (date):
- The application was filed by (name): JAMES F. COHANA
- IT IS ORDERED that the application is granted  in whole  in part (complete item 4 below).
  - No payments. Payment of all the fees and costs listed in California Rules of Court, rule 3.61, is waived.
  - The applicant shall pay all the fees and costs listed in California Rules of Court, rule 3.61, EXCEPT the following:
 

(1) <input type="checkbox"/> Filing papers.	(6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sheriff and marshal fees.
(2) <input type="checkbox"/> Certification and copying.	(7) <input type="checkbox"/> Reporter's fees* (valid for 60 days).
(3) <input type="checkbox"/> Issuing process and certification.	(8) <input type="checkbox"/> Telephone appearance (Gov. Code, § 68070.1 (c))
(4) <input type="checkbox"/> Transmittal of papers.	(9) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify code section):
(5) <input type="checkbox"/> Court-appointed interpreter.	

Reporter's fees are per diem pursuant to Code Civ. Proc., §§ 269, 274c, and Gov. Code, §§ 69947, 69948, and 72195.
  - Method of payment. The applicant shall pay all the fees and costs when charged, EXCEPT as follows:
 

(1) <input type="checkbox"/> Pay (specify):	percent.	(2) <input type="checkbox"/> Pay: \$	per month or more until the balance is paid.
---	----------	--------------------------------------	--
  - The clerk of the court, county financial officer, or appropriate county officer is authorized to require the applicant to appear before and be examined by the court no sooner than four months from the date of this order, and not more than once in any four-month period.  The applicant is ordered to appear in this court as follows for review of his or her financial status:
 

Date:	Time:	Dept.:	Div.:	Room:
  - The clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order only to the applicant's attorney or to the applicant if not represented.
  - All unpaid fees and costs shall be deemed to be taxable costs if the applicant is entitled to costs and shall be a lien on any judgment recovered by the applicant and shall be paid directly to the clerk by the judgment debtor upon such recovery.
- IT IS ORDERED that the application is denied  in whole  in part for the following reasons (see Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.50-3.63):
  - Monthly household income exceeds guidelines (Gov. Code, § 68511.3(a)(6)(B); form FW-001-INFO).
  - Other (Complete line 4b on page 2).
  - The applicant shall pay any fees and costs due in this action within 10 days from the date of service of this order or any paper filed by the applicant with the clerk will be of no effect.
  - The clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to all parties who have appeared in this action.
- IT IS ORDERED that a hearing be held.
  - The substantial evidentiary conflict to be resolved by the hearing is (specify):
  - The applicant should appear in this court at the following hearing to help resolve the conflict:
 

Date:	Time:	Dept.:	Div.:	Room:
  - The address of the court is (specify):
  Same as above
  - The clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order only to the applicant's attorney or to the applicant if not represented.

**NOTICE: If item 3d or item 5b is filled in and the applicant does not attend the hearing, the court may revoke or change the order or deny the application without considering information the applicant wants the court to consider.**

**WARNING: The applicant must immediately tell the court if he or she becomes able to pay court fees or costs during this action. The applicant may be ordered to appear in court and answer questions about his or her ability to pay fees or costs.**

Date: SEP 28 2007 JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK  
 Clerk by *Jennifer Young*, Deputy  
 JENNIFER YOUNG, DEPUTY CLERK