

91462 4  
11408  
2208

**PINNOCK & WAKEFIELD**

A Professional Corporation  
Theodore A. Pinnock, Esq.  
David C. Wakefield, Esq.  
Michelle L. Wakefield, Esq.  
3033 Fifth Avenue, Suite 410  
San Diego, CA 92103  
Telephone: 619.858.3671  
Facsimile: 619.858.3646

Bar #: 153494  
Bar #: 185736  
Bar #: 200424

**FILED**  
**WAIVED**  
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT  
OCT 04 2007  
JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK  
REC'D BY U.S. MAIL BY N. TERRAZAS, DEPUTY  
OCT 01 2007  
W005

Attorneys for Plaintiff

L.A.S.C. - Northwest East

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

**JAMES F. COHAN;**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**Rite Aid; COLLEGE BLOCK; And  
DOES 1 THROUGH 10, Inclusive**


**Defendants.**

Case No. **LC079269**

**CIVIL COMPLAINT:**  
**DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES IN**  
**PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS**  
**[42 U.S.C. 12182(a) ET. SEQ; CIVIL**  
**CODE 51, 52, 54, 54.1]**

**NAMED DEFENDANTS AND NAMED PLAINTIFF**

1. Defendants are, and, at all times mentioned herein, were, a business or corporation or franchise organized and existing and/or doing business under the laws of the State of California. Property Owner: COLLEGE BLOCK  
Mailing Address: C/O RIE AID #5548, PO BOX 3165, HARRISBURG, PA 17105  
Property Address: 18444 PLUMMER ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 91325 DBA Name: COLLEGE BLOCK  
Business Address: 3920 LONGRIDGE AVE SHERMAN OAKS, CA 91423-4938  
Contact Name: RUBIN LAZAR



1 2. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants,  
2 Rite Aid; COLLEGE BLOCK, are the owners, operators, and/or lessors of the real  
3 property, as well as the business operated thereon.

4 3. The words "Plaintiffs" and "Plaintiff's Member" as used herein specifically  
5 include the organization and TRIPPLE AAA ASSOCIATION FOR CHILDREN AND  
6 ADULTS WITH DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, SUING ON BEHALF OF ITS  
7 MEMBERS, JAMES H. COHAN; and persons associated with its Members who  
8 accompanied Members to Defendants' facilities.

9 4. Defendants Does 1 through 10, were at all times relevant herein subsidiaries,  
10 employers, employees, agents, of Defendants Rite Aid; COLLEGE BLOCK. Plaintiffs  
11 are ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as Does 1  
12 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names.  
13 Plaintiffs will pray leave of the court to amend this complaint to allege the true names  
14 and capacities of the Does when ascertained.

15 5. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that Defendants and each  
16 of them herein were, at all times relevant to the action, the owner, lessor, lessee,  
17 franchiser, franchisee, general partner, limited partner, agent, employee, representing  
18 partner, or joint venturer of the remaining Defendants and were acting within the course  
19 and scope of that relationship. Plaintiffs are further informed and believe, and thereon  
20 allege, that each of the Defendants herein gave consent to, ratified, and/or authorized the  
21 acts alleged herein to each of the remaining Defendants.  
22

23  
24 **CONCISE SET OF FACTS**

25 6. Plaintiff has an impairment.

26 7. Defendants failed to provide auxiliary aids and services that are necessary to  
27 ensure equal access to the goods, services, privileges, or accommodations that it offers.  
28

1 Title 28, part 36.303 of Code of Federal Regulations states:

2 (a) General. A public accommodation shall take those steps that may be  
3 necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied  
4 services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals  
5 because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the public  
6 accommodation can demonstrate that taking those steps would  
7 fundamentally alter the nature of the goods, services, facilities, privileges,  
8 advantages, or accommodations being offered or would result in an undue  
9 burden, i.e., significant difficulty or expense.

10 (b) Examples. The term "auxiliary aids and services" includes:

11 (1) Qualified interpreters, notetakers, computer-aided transcription  
12 services, written materials, telephone handset amplifiers, assistive  
13 listening devices, assistive listening systems, telephones compatible with  
14 hearing aids, closed caption decoders, open and closed captioning,  
15 telecommunications devices for deaf persons (TDD's), videotext displays,  
16 or other effective methods of making aurally delivered materials available  
17 to individuals with hearing impairments;

18 (2) Qualified readers, taped texts, audio recordings, Brailled materials,  
19 large print materials, or other effective methods of making visually  
20 delivered materials available to individuals with visual impairments;

21 (3) Acquisition or modification of equipment or devices; and

22 (4) Other similar services and actions.

23 (c) Effective communication. A public accommodation shall furnish  
24 appropriate auxiliary aids and services where necessary to ensure effective  
25 communication with individuals with disabilities.  
26  
27  
28

1 Defendants failed to comply with Title 28, part 36.303 of Code of Federal Regulations as  
2 it failed to provide Qualified readers, taped texts, audio recordings, Brailled materials, or  
3 large print materials. Further, Defendants failed to remove architectural barriers.

4 8. Plaintiff's Member and Plaintiff(s) have physical impairments because their  
5 conditions affect one or more of the following body systems: neurological,  
6 musculoskeletal, special sense organs, and/or cardiovascular. Further, Plaintiff's  
7 Member and Plaintiff(s) said physical impairments substantially limits one or more of the  
8 following major life activities. In addition, Plaintiff's Member and Plaintiff(s) cannot  
9 perform one or more of the said major life activities in the manner, speed, and duration  
10 when compared to the average person. Moreover, Plaintiff's Member and Plaintiff(s) has  
11 a history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment as required by 42  
12 U.S.C. § 12102(2)(A).

13 9. Plaintiff's Members expressly intend to patronize the establishment and the  
14 property that is the subject of this Complaint in the immediate future.

15 10. Plaintiff's Member was deterred from patronizing the facility.

16  
17 **WHAT CLAIMS ARE PLAINTIFFS ALLEGING AGAINST EACH NAMED**  
18 **DEFENDANT**

19 11. Defendants Rite Aid; COLLEGE BLOCK; and Does 1 through 10 will be referred  
20 to collectively hereinafter as "Defendants."

21 12. Plaintiffs aver that the Defendants are liable for the following claims as alleged  
22 below:

23  
24 **DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES IN PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS**

25 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS- Claims Under The**  
26 **Americans With Disabilities Act Of 1990**

1 Claim I

2 13. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs on the  
3 basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities,  
4 privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation as  
5 Defendants own, lease (or lease to), or operate a place of public accommodation in  
6 violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

7 Claim II

8 14. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs  
9 directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, to a denial of the  
10 opportunity of the individual or class to participate in or benefit from the goods, services,  
11 facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity in violation of 42  
12 U.S.C. §12182.

13 Claim III

14 15. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as it  
15 is discriminatory to afford an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a disability  
16 or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or  
17 other arrangements with the opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service,  
18 facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is not equal to that afforded to other  
19 individuals in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

20 Claim IV

21 16. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as it  
22 is discriminatory to provide an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a  
23 disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual,  
24 licensing, or other arrangements with a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
25 accommodation that is different or separate from that provided to other individuals.

26 Claim V

27  
28

1 17. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
2 Defendants failed to afforded to an individual with a disability in the most integrated  
3 setting appropriate to the needs of the individual in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

4 Claim VI

5 18. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
6 Defendants utilized standards or criteria or methods of administration that have the effect  
7 of discriminating on the basis of disability; or that perpetuate the discrimination of others  
8 who are subject to common administrative control in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

9 Claim VII

10 19. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as it  
11 is discriminatory to exclude or otherwise deny equal goods, services, facilities, privileges,  
12 advantages, accommodations, or other opportunities to an individual or entity because of  
13 the known disability of an individual with whom the individual or entity is known to have  
14 a relationship or association in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182. See Niece v. Fitzner 922 F.  
15 Supp. 1208 (1996)

16 Claim VIII

17 20. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
18 Defendants engaged in the specific prohibitions as stated in 42 U.S.C. §12182.

19 Claim IX

20 21. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
21 Defendant failed to demonstrate that the removal of a barrier is not readily achievable,  
22 and made such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations  
23 available through alternative methods in a segregated manner in violation of 42 U.S.C.  
24 §12182.

25 Claim X

26 22. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiffs as  
27  
28

1 Civil Code §§ 51, 52, and 54.1. Plaintiffs allege the access violations alleged here are so  
2 obvious as to implicate at least a prima facie case of discriminatory intent.

3 27. Based on the facts plead above, Claims I, II, and III of Plaintiffs' Second Cause  
4 Of Action above, and the facts elsewhere herein this complaint, Plaintiffs will suffer  
5 irreparable harm unless Defendants are ordered to remove architectural, non-  
6 architectural, and communication barriers at Defendants' public accommodation.  
7 Plaintiffs allege that Defendants' discriminatory conduct is capable of repetition, and this  
8 discriminatory repetition adversely impacts Plaintiffs and a substantial segment of the  
9 disability community. Plaintiffs allege there is a state and national public interest in  
10 requiring accessibility in places of public accommodation. Plaintiffs have no adequate  
11 remedy at law to redress the discriminatory conduct of Defendants. Plaintiffs desire to  
12 return to Defendants' places of business in the immediate future. Accordingly, the  
13 Plaintiffs allege that a structural or mandatory injunction is necessary to enjoin  
14 compliance with state civil rights laws enacted for the benefit of individuals with  
15 disabilities.

16 28. Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for damages and relief as hereinafter stated.  
17

18 DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF:

- 19  
20 A. For injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a).  
21 B. For attorneys fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, 42 U.S.C. § 12205, and Cal.  
Civil Code § 51, 52; 54.3;  
22 C. A Jury Trial and;  
23 D. For such other further relief as the court deems proper.

24 ///  
25 ///  
26 ///  
27 ///  
28 ///



1 Respectfully submitted:


2

PINNOCK & WAKEFIELD, A.P.C.

3

Dated: September 4, 2007

4

By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
THEODORE A. PINNOCK, ESQ.  
MICHELLE L. WAKEFIELD, ESQ.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28



ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address):  
 Theodore A. Pinnock, Esq. SBN: 153434  
 PINNOCK & WAKEFIELD, A.P.C.  
 3033 Fifth Avenue, Suite 410  
 San Diego, CA 92103  
 TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 858-3671 FAX NO.: (619) 858-3646  
 E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): Pinnock99@aol.com  
 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff

FOR COURT USE ONLY

**FILED**

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

OCT 01 2007

JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK  
 BY N. TERRAZAS, DEPUTY

CASE NUMBER  
**LC079269**

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles

STREET ADDRESS: 6230 Sylmar Avenue  
 MAILING ADDRESS: 6230 Sylmar Avenue  
 CITY AND ZIP CODE: Van Nuys, CA 91401  
 BRANCH NAME: Van Nuys Courthouse East

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: JAMES F. COHAN;  
 DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Rite Aid; COLLEGE BLOCK; And DOES 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive

**ORDER ON APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OF COURT FEES AND COSTS**

- The application was filed on (date) OCT 01 2007  A previous order was issued on (date):
- The application was filed by (name): James F. Cohan
- IT IS ORDERED that the application is **granted**  in whole  in part (complete item 4 below).
  - No payments. Payment of all the fees and costs listed in California Rules of Court, rule 3.61, is waived.
  - The applicant shall pay all the fees and costs listed in California Rules of Court, rule 3.61, EXCEPT the following:
 

(1) <input type="checkbox"/> Filing papers.	(6) <input type="checkbox"/> Sheriff and marshal fees.
(2) <input type="checkbox"/> Certification and copying.	(7) <input type="checkbox"/> Reporter's fees* (valid for 60 days).
(3) <input type="checkbox"/> Issuing process and certification.	(8) <input type="checkbox"/> Telephone appearance (Gov. Code, § 68070.1 (c))
(4) <input type="checkbox"/> Transmittal of papers.	(9) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify code section):
(5) <input type="checkbox"/> Court-appointed interpreter.	

Reporter's fees are per diem pursuant to Code Civ. Proc., §§ 269, 274c, and Gov. Code, §§ 69947, 69948, and 72195.
  - Method of payment.** The applicant shall pay all the fees and costs when charged, EXCEPT as follows:  
 (1)  Pay (specify): \_\_\_\_\_ percent. (2)  Pay: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ per month or more until the balance is paid.
  - The clerk of the court, county financial officer, or appropriate county officer is authorized to require the applicant to appear before and be examined by the court no sooner than four months from the date of this order, and not more than once in any four-month period.  The applicant is ordered to appear in this court as follows for review of his or her financial status:  
 Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_ Dept.: \_\_\_\_\_ Div.: \_\_\_\_\_ Room: \_\_\_\_\_
  - The clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order only to the applicant's attorney or to the applicant if not represented.
  - All unpaid fees and costs shall be deemed to be taxable costs if the applicant is entitled to costs and shall be a lien on any judgment recovered by the applicant and shall be paid directly to the clerk by the judgment debtor upon such recovery.
- IT IS ORDERED that the application is **denied**  in whole  in part for the following reasons (see Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.50-3.63):
  - Monthly household income exceeds guidelines (Gov. Code, § 68511.3(a)(6)(B); form FW-001-INFO).
  - Other (Complete line 4b on page 2).
  - The applicant shall pay any fees and costs due in this action within 10 days from the date of service of this order or any paper filed by the applicant with the clerk will be of no effect.
  - The clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to all parties who have appeared in this action.
- IT IS ORDERED that a **hearing** be held.
  - The substantial evidentiary conflict to be resolved by the hearing is (specify): \_\_\_\_\_
  - The applicant should appear in this court at the following hearing to help resolve the conflict:  
 Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_ Dept.: \_\_\_\_\_ Div.: \_\_\_\_\_ Room: \_\_\_\_\_
  - The address of the court is (specify):  
 Same as above
  - The clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order only to the applicant's attorney or to the applicant if not represented.

**NOTICE: If item 3d or item 5b is filled in and the applicant does not attend the hearing, the court may revoke or change the order or deny the application without considering information the applicant wants the court to consider.**

**WARNING: The applicant must immediately tell the court if he or she becomes able to pay court fees or costs during this action. The applicant may be ordered to appear in court and answer questions about his or her ability to pay fees or costs.**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Clerk, by \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy  
 JUDICIAL OFFICER (Clerk may GRANT in full a nondiscretionary fee waiver per Cal. Rule of Court, rules 3.56) Page 1 of 2









PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER (Name): <u>JAMES F. COHAN;</u> DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT (Name): <u>Rite Aid; COLLEGE BLOCK; And</u> <u>DOES 1 THROUGH 10 INCLUSIVE</u>	CASE NUMBER
---	-------------


4b  Application is denied in whole or in part (specify reasons):

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true copy of the foregoing was mailed first class, postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope addressed as shown below, and that the mailing of the foregoing and execution of this certificate occurred at  
 (place): \_\_\_\_\_, California,  
 on (date): \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk, by \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy



**CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

Date:

Clerk, by \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy